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The Deceptive Logic of Punitive Discipline

- Poor Behavioral Climate
- Increase Suspensions and Expulsions
- Improved safety and climate
- Improved student achievement
Exclusionary Discipline: A Failing Approach

For suspended students

• lower academic achievement, more anti-social behaviors, more juvenile justice system exposure
• Greater use and worse outcomes among Black and Low Income students

For non-suspended students

• Negative spillover effects
• Overall declines in school performance across all students
• Evidence is overwhelming that this is a failed approach to managing student behavior
Phase 1: What is the School to Prison Pipeline?

- A set of school discipline policies and practices mirroring war-on-drug policies
- Creates a “pipeline” between school discipline and juvenile justice contact
- Disproportionately affects Black, Latino, LGBTQ, and special need students

Three fundamental contributors:
1. Increased police presence in schools
2. Zero-tolerance behavioral policies
3. Racial biases in school discipline
Roots of the School-to-Prison Pipeline

Increased Police Presence

• Between 1979 and 2009, the rate of police officers in public schools has increased from 1% to 68% of schools

• Offenses that were previously dealt with by educators are often now dealt with by law enforcement
Roots of the School-to-Prison Pipeline

Zero Tolerance Policies

• In the 1980s, schools began to implement zero-tolerance disciplinary policies that were fashioned after the war on drugs era criminal justice policies
• School suspension rates have doubled nationally since 1970’s
• 25% of all public high school students have been suspended in their lifetime

(Losen et al., 2015)
Black Students with Disabilities

Students with disabilities
  • 12.8% of students in US
  • 24.5% of all suspensions
  • Risk Ratio 1.9 to 1

Black students
  • 15.5% of all students
  • 26% of all suspensions
  • 1.67 to 1

Black students with disabilities
  • 2.4% of all students in the United States
  • Make up 8.6% of all suspensions
  • 3.63 to 1

(NCES, 2013-2014)
The Double Quandary of Discipline in Greater Pittsburgh

- Suspension rates are high in urban districts (as many as 60 suspensions per 100 students)
- Disparity rates are exceedingly high in suburban districts (as much as 8 to 1)
- Black students in Greater Pittsburgh are suspended at over 7 times the rate of other students
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National Student Arrest Rates Comparison

Student Arrest Rates

• Pennsylvania had the third highest student arrest rate in the nation and saw a 24% increase in student arrests between 2013-2014 and 2015-2016

Compared to the rest of the country, Pennsylvania ranks:

3rd for Latinx girls student arrests
2nd for Latinx student arrests
2nd for Black student arrests
3rd for disabled student arrests
Public School Student Arrest Rates per 1000 (2018-19)

➢ Pennsylvania State: 3757
  ○ Arrest Rate: 3.84/1000

➢ Philadelphia County: 187
  ○ Arrest Rate: 2.44/1000

➢ Allegheny County: 726
  ○ Arrest Rate: 8.63/1000

Data includes only school based arrests of 5th-12th grade public school students
Most Likely to be Arrested in Pennsylvania

- Black students arrested at higher rates than their peers
- Students with disabilities are arrested at higher rates than students without disabilities
- Most likely to be arrested: Black boys with disabilities
# Allegheny County Comparative Arrest Rate of Black and White Students (2018-19)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School District Name</th>
<th>% White Student Enrollment</th>
<th>Arrest of White students</th>
<th>Arrest Rate of White students per 1000</th>
<th>% Black Student Enrollment</th>
<th>Arrest of Black students</th>
<th>Arrest Rate of Black students per 1000</th>
<th>Risk Ratio (Black/White arrest rates)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allegheny County</td>
<td>72.27%</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>21.77%</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>27.20</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Allegheny SD</td>
<td>91.48%</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.96</td>
<td>8.62%</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>108.43</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baldwin-Whitehall SD</td>
<td>77.25%</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>5.69%</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>91.50</td>
<td>17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sto-Rox SD</td>
<td>30.56%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13.76</td>
<td>54.56%</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>69.41</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moon Area SD</td>
<td>78.82%</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>6.27%</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Hills SD</td>
<td>88.67%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>4.71%</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>56.00</td>
<td>32.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pittsburgh Public SD</td>
<td>33.45%</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>11.77</td>
<td>52.06%</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>48.28</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Jeffers on SD</td>
<td>91.94%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>3.90%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>41.67</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chartiers Valley SD</td>
<td>85.08%</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6.63</td>
<td>5.13%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel Valley SD</td>
<td>52.03%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>38.93%</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>26.79</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Allegheny SD</td>
<td>79.07%</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>2.60%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21.43</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaler SD</td>
<td>91.51%</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>7.97</td>
<td>3.78%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20.41</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Mifflin Area SD</td>
<td>61.21%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>26.65%</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16.49</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clairton SD</td>
<td>19.61%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11.11</td>
<td>67.10%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16.23</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McKeesport Area SD</td>
<td>44.82%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>43.70%</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12.20</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penn Hills SD</td>
<td>27.46%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>65.06%</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11.28</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Allegheny SD</td>
<td>57.91%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>29.71%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.78</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gateway SD</td>
<td>56.07%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>25.32%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodland Hills SD</td>
<td>26.33%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>62.16%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 4 out of 5 of the school districts with the highest arrest rates of Black students have low Black student enrollment.
- Racial disparities in schools with both large and small populations of Black students.

Sorted by highest arrest rate of Black students

* Risk ratio comparison could not be determined as there were 0 arrests of White students.
Arrest Rate of Students By Race & Disability (IDEA) 2017-18

- Nationally Students with disabilities arrested at nearly 3 times the rate of students without disabilities.
- Protections for IDEA* students when it comes to suspensions, but not arrests.

★ Black students both with and without disabilities are arrested at much higher rates than their White peers.
★ Black students with a disability are arrested at nearly 18 times the rate of White students without a disability.

*IDEA: Individuals with Disabilities Education Act
**Pittsburgh Public Schools reported ZERO arrests in the 2017-18 Civil Rights Data Collection so were excluded
Summary Citations - Collateral Consequences

- Summary Citations look similar to a traffic ticket and are issued for minor infractions, usually common youth behavior often categorized as “disorderly conduct” (e.g. excessive noise or obscene gestures/language).
- Although it seems like a minor issue, they are actually harmful and can have long term consequences on youth. For starters, they are ADULT criminal offenses. There is no distinction between juveniles or adults when it comes to citations as there are in arrests.
- Summary citations may result in fines, which can place an unnecessary burden on families, who must pay these fines.
- **Failure to pay these fines can result in a referral to juvenile justice for a “failure to comply” charge.** Essentially punishing poverty.
• A student receiving a summary citation **may be tried in absentia**: If the student fails to appear the trial may be conducted without their presence.

• Summary convictions are not automatically expunged. And a record of the summary offense conviction cannot be expunged until 6 months after turning 18, *only if all conditions have been met*. So, if, like many youth, at 17 or 18 they apply for a job, college or military application, **and they are asked if they have ever been convicted of a crime, they must say yes.**

**Who is impacted?**

• Pittsburgh: 88% of citations issued by PPS police are to Black youth even though they account for 53% of the students.

• Allegheny County: Black youth are **10 times** more likely than White youth to be referred to juvenile justice for failure to pay a fine resulting from a summary citation.
A TALE OF TWO SCHOOLS

Carlos has a heated argument with his parents before leaving for school, so he's running late. Let's see the difference that restorative policies and practices can make.

RESTORATIVE PRACTICES-BASED EDUCATION SYSTEM

Carlos arrives at school.

Teachers and administrators welcome him and his fellow students as they enter.

His Teacher waits until after class to speak with Carlos to learn more, and sets up a meeting with his school counselor.

Carlos is late to first period class.

Zero-Tolerance Education System

He is greeted by metal detectors and a police search.

His teacher scolds him in front of the class. Carlos talks back, and is given a detention.

Carlos gets into a minor altercation in the cafeteria.

A school police officer detains and arrests both students.

Carlos is held in a juvenile detention facility all afternoon, missing school. He now has an arrest record and is facing suspension.

Later that afternoon...

Carlos and the other student agree to help clean the cafeteria during a free period. Carlos meets with his counselor and parents after school to help resolve the conflict at home.
15 year-old Jonathan is a 10th grader at a public school. He has had an IEP since 7th grade for ADHD. Primary behavioral manifestations of his IEP include: having his cell phone out in class when he shouldn’t, occasional talking back or denial of misbehaviors, and cutting classes to roam the hallways. His grades are generally A’s & B’s, with the occasional C.

In November 2018, Jonathan is in the hallway and a teacher who has never taught or worked with Jonathan sees him in the hallway. She asks for his hall pass. He does not respond and keeps walking. She asks again and he yells at her to leave him alone. A few weeks later, Jonathan’s mom gets a letter from probation saying that he is being charged with disorderly conduct, a misdemeanor crime. Jonathan has never been in trouble with law enforcement or juvenile probation. The probation officer tells mom that if Jonathan signs an agreement to stay out of trouble for 6 months and do community service then he will never have to go to court. Jonathan signs the agreement.

5 months later, in April 2019, Jonathan and two friends come to the school on a Saturday afternoon and joyride around the school’s property on the school mascot (an old golf cart). Jonathan was not the driver of the golf cart at any point. Upon viewing the security footage, the school charges Jonathan and his friends with felony burglary and felony theft. In addition, his initial agreement from the hallway incident is revoked and he is charged with disorderly conduct matter in court as well.
“It should not be controversial in light of these facts to say that racial disproportionality does not develop by accident or coincidence - it is at least partially the result of institutionalized racism and implicit (or explicit) biases.”

- David Simson

*Exclusion, Punishment, Racism and Our Schools: A Critical Race Theory Perspective on School Discipline*
Next steps

Continue the conversation about the School to Prison Pipeline: Small group discussion
Friday, June 11, 2021, 12-2pm

Registration link is in the chat.

More information about the series:
www.DisabilityInclusionPgh.org, under RACE + DISABILITY